

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/086,060	02/27/2002	Byron A. Alcorn	100110642-1	1432	
7590 11/09/2004		EXAMINER			
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			TUNG, KEE M		
	perty Administration		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 27240	00		ARTOM		
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2676	2676	

DATE MAILED: 11/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	i
		10/086,060	ALCORN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Kee M Tung	2676	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address -	
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a, cause the application to become ABAND	pe timely filed ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communications ONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).	ation.
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 J. This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowardlosed in accordance with the practice under the second	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters,	*	s is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) <u>29-39</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3,7,9-11,15,17-26 and 28</u> is/are rej Claim(s) <u>4-6,8,12-14,16 and 27</u> is/are objected Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration. ected. d to.		
Applicati	on Papers	•	•	
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	cepted or b) objected to by to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the dráwing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			٠.
12)□ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. is have been received in Appli rity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage	
Attachment	o(s)			
1)  Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2676

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The amendment filed 7/30/04 has been considered in preparing this Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 9, 10, 17-23 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Humphreys et al (WireGL: A scalable graphics system for clusters, hereinafter "Humphreys").

Humphreys teaches a centralized resource system (Figs. 1 and 2) comprising a plurality of computer resource units (Fig. 2, application stage, Apps); a plurality of visualization resource units (Fig. 2, graphics accelerators or engines, Geom and Rast); a switching fabric (Fig. 2, high speed cluster interconnect) operable to dynamically couple select one or more of the plurality of visualization resource units to select one or more of the plurality of compute resource units for generating at least one graphical image from a plurality of graphical images; and a plurality of display devices (Fig. 2, displays; and page 134, col. 1, first full paragraph, suggests to allow each pipeserver to drive a single locally attached display) coupled to the one or more select visualization resource units operable to display the at least one graphical image. Therefore, at least claims 1, 9 and 22 anticipated by Humphreys.

Art Unit: 2676

As per claims 2, 10 and 23, Humphreys teaches the plurality of display devices is coupled to the one or more select visualization resource unit via network (Fig. 2, image composition network).

As per claim 17, Humphreys teaches the plurality of display devices are located remotely from the plurality of first and second resource units (page 130, col. 1, third full paragraph, suggests communication after the application stage provides a redistribution of primitives to *remote* graphics accelerators).

As per claims 18 and 19, Humphreys teaches connected compute resource units to visualization resource units via computer network or Intranet (page 133, col. 2, section 3.3).

As per claims 20 and 21, Humphreys teaches the plurality of compute and visualization resource units comprise CPU (such as, application or graphics accelerators).

As per claim 28, Humphreys teaches a data storage means (such as, main memory) coupled to the first resource means (APP or CPU) for storing data.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2676

4. Claims 3, 11 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Humphreys et al (WireGL: A scalable graphics system for clusters, hereinafter "Humphreys").

The teachings of Humphreys are given in previous paragraph of this Office action. However, Humphreys fails to explicitly teach or suggest the plurality of visualization resource units further comprising a plurality of compositors coupled to the graphics engine. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the present invention was made to implement the teachings of Humphreys to integrate the plurality of compositors into the same subsystem or unit of visualization resource unit in order to reduce component size, cost and increase the bandwidth. Therefore, at least claims 3, 11 and 26 would have been obvious.

5. Claims 7, 15, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Humphreys et al (WireGL: A scalable graphics system for clusters, hereinafter "Humphreys") in view of Eckart (5,408,606).

The teachings of Humphreys are given in previous paragraph of this Office action. However, Humphreys fails to explicitly teach or suggest the switching fabric is a crossbar switch. This is what Eckart teaches (Fig. 2, 50). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the present invention was made to replace the high speed cluster interconnect of Humphreys by the crossbar switch of Eckart in order to rearrange the streams of graphics data to be further processed in parallel as taught by Eckart (col. 2, lines 24-33). Therefore, at least claims 7, 15, 24 and 25 would have been obvious.

Page 5

6. Claims 29-39 are allowed.

7. Claims 4-6, 8, 12-14, 16 and 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a

rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all

of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

måtter:

The prior art made of record fails to anticipate or make obvious the claimed

invention. Specifically, the prior art fails to suggest or teach, in combination with

remaining elements and/or steps, a second switching fabric coupling the compositors to

the plurality of graphics pipelines as recited in claims 4, 5, 12, 13 and 35; and an agent

operable to determine a requirement for computing resource units, determine a

requirement for visualization resource units, and allocate the computing resource units

and visualization resource units as recited in claims 6, 14, 27 and 29.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 7/30/04 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

Basically, applicant argues that Humphreys fails to suggest the claimed

"dynamically coupling select visualization resource units to select compute resource

units". The examiner disagrees. Humphreys teaches "communication after the

application stage provides a redistribution of primitives to remote graphics

accelerators based on those primitives' screen-space extent" (page 130, col. 1, third full

Art Unit: 2676

paragraph) which inherently can be considered as to dynamically couple from one of the application stage to one of the accelerator stage in view of the teachings of "redistribution". Therefore, applicant's arguments are not deemed to be persuasive.

#### Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kee M Tung whose telephone number is 703-305-9660. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday from 5:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on 703-308-6829. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2676

2676

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kee M Tung

Primary Examiner

Page 7

Art Unit 2676